

**BOROUGH OF INTERLAKEN  
MINUTES- PLANNING BOARD  
JUNE 26, 2023 7:30 P.M.  
BOROUGH HALL, 100 GRASSMERE AVENUE**

---

A meeting of the PLANNING BOARD of the Borough of Interlaken, Monmouth County, New Jersey was held on June 26, 2023, at 7:30 p.m. in the Borough Hall.

Vice Chairwoman Umfrid opened the meeting, announced that the meeting was being held in accordance with the Open Public Meeting Act and that Notice of the meeting had been published in the Coaster. The announcement was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

---

ROLL CALL:

Present: Vice Chairwoman Umfrid, Mr. Tilton, Ms. Dalton, Mr. Wasilishen, Mr. Weaver, Ms. Kapp and Ms. Heinz

Also Present: Board Attorney Kevin Kennedy by speaker phone, and Planning Board Secretary Gina Kneser

Late Arrival: Ms. Kane 7:32pm

Absent: Chairman Papp and Councilman Blasucci,

UPON MOTION of Mr. Weaver seconded by Mr. Wasilishen carried, the Board approved the May 15, 2023 minutes.

ROLL CALL:

In Favor: Vice Chairwoman Umfrid, Mr. Tilton, Ms. Dalton, Mr. Wasilishen, Mr. Weaver, Ms. Kapp and Ms. Heinz

Opposed: None

Ineligible: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Chairman Papp and Councilman Blasucci, Ms. Kane (late arrival)

MEMORIALIZATION

**RESOLUTION**

**INTERLAKEN PLANNING BOARD**

**ANTHONY & PATRICIA DeSTEFANO  
15 RONA STREET  
INTERLAKEN, NJ  
BLOCK 11, LOTS 30.01, 31, & 32**

**Introduction**

**WHEREAS**, Anthony and Patricia DeStefano have made Application to the Interlaken Planning Board for the property designated as Block 11, Lots 30.01, 31 & 32, known as 15 Rona Street, Interlaken, New Jersey, within the Borough's R-A Zone, for the following approval: Bulk Variance associated with a request to install a pool, patio, and grilling station at the site; and

**Public Hearing**

**WHEREAS**, the Board held a Public Hearing on May 15, 2023, Applicants having filed proper Proof of Service and Publication in accordance with Statutory and Ordinance Requirements; and

**Evidence / Exhibits**

**WHEREAS**, at the said Hearing, the Board reviewed, considered, and analyzed the following:

- *Development Application Package, introduced into Evidence as A-1;*
- *Site Plan, prepared by Pillari, LLC, consisting of 3 sheets, dated as follows:*
  - Sheet S-1, dated September 13, 2022, last revised April 14, 2023;*
  - Sheet G-1, dated January 27, 2022, last revised February 23, 2023;*
  - and*
  - Sheet D-1, dated October 26, 2022.**The sheets were collectively introduced into Evidence as A-2;*
- *A Geometry and Structured Pool Plan, prepared by MidState Engineering, Inc, dated January 13, 2023, introduced into Evidence as A-3;*
- *A final As-Built Survey, prepared by Clearpoint Services, LLC, dated March 12, 2021, introduced into Evidence as A-4;*
- *A Sub-Surface Investigation Report, prepared by MC Engineering, dated August 23, 2022, introduced into Evidence as A-5;*
- *Various pictures of the subject property, collectively introduced into Evidence as A-6;*

- *Leon S. Avakian, Inc. Review Memorandum, dated April 27, 2023, introduced into Evidence as B-1;*
- *Affidavit of Service;*
- *Affidavit of Publication.*

**Witnesses**

**WHEREAS**, sworn testimony in support of the Application was presented by the following:

- Anthony DeStefano, Applicant, appearing pro se;
- Patricia DeStefano, Applicant, appearing pro se;

**WHEREAS**, Peter R. Avakian, P.E., P.L.S., P.P., the Board Engineer, was also sworn with regard to any testimony / information he would provide with the subject Application.

**Testimony and Other Evidence Presented on Behalf of the Applicants**

**WHEREAS**, testimony and other evidence presented by the Applicants revealed the following:

- The Applicants are the Owners of the subject property.
- The Applicants have owned the subject property since approximately 2019.
- There is an existing single-family home at the site.
- The Applicants live at the site.
- The Applicants propose to install a pool, patio, and grilling station at the site.
- Details pertaining to the proposed improvements include the following:

**POOL / PATIO**

Type of Pool:	In-ground pool
Size:	30 ft. x 16.5 ft.
Location:	Rear of property (Southwest portion of property) (per Plans)
Shape of Pool:	Rectangular (generally)
Pool Depth:	Approximately 6 ft (in the deep end)

Pool Equipment:	The proposal will include standard pool equipment, including a pump, filter, and heater.
Pool Equipment Location:	East of the pool (per Plans)
Filter:	Pool cartridge filter system, with no discharge
Patio Location:	Surrounding pool (per Plans)

**GRILLING STATION**

Location:	Outside of home, between the home and the proposed pool
Size:	Per Plans
Fuel Source:	Natural Gas

- The Applicants anticipate installing the improvements in the near future.
- The Applicants will be utilizing licensed contractors in connection with the installation process.

**Variance**

**WHEREAS**, the Application requires approval for the following Variance:

*Separation between pool and seasonal high-water table / bottom pool elevation details:*

*The prevailing Zoning Regulations require that there be a separation between the pool and the seasonal high-water table; whereas, in the within situation, no such separation exists. Additionally, the prevailing Zoning Regulations also require the bottom elevation of the pool structure be not less than 2 ft. above the seasonal high ground water elevation. In the within situation, the Applicant's bottom elevation of the pool structure is less than 2 ft. above the seasonal high ground water elevation (the proposed pool in the seasonal high-water table). As such, Variance relief is required.*

**Public Comments**

**WHEREAS**, sworn public comments, questions, concerns, and / or Objectors regarding the Application were presented by the following individuals:

- Jennifer Kapp

- Janet Casini-Pepe
- Joyce Barrett
- John Saligovic

### **Findings of Fact**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Planning Board of the Borough of Interlaken, after having considered the aforementioned Application, plans, evidence, public comments, and testimony, that the Application is hereby **granted / approved with conditions**.

In support of its decision, the Planning Board makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

1. The Interlaken Planning Board has proper jurisdiction to hear the within matter.
2. The subject property is located at 15 Rona Street, Interlaken, New Jersey, within the Borough's R-A Zone.
3. The subject property is located at the southwest corner of Raymere Avenue and Rona Street.
4. The subject property contains an area of 12,497.58 SF.
5. The Applicants herein are proposing to install a pool, patio, and grilling station at the site.
6. Such a proposal requires Bulk Variance approval.
7. The details for the proposed improvements are set forth herein and on the submitted Plans.
8. The Interlaken Planning Board is statutorily authorized to grant the requested relief and therefore, the matter is properly before the said entity.
9. With regard to the Application, and the requested relief, the Board notes the following:
  - Single-family use is a permitted use in the R-A Zone.
  - A pool is a permitted accessory use in the subject Zone.

- The Borough of Interlaken has several Zoning Ordinance / Regulations regarding pool placement vis-à-vis the seasonal high groundwater elevation. Specifically, relevant regulations provide the following:
  - a. There must be a physical separation between the pool and the seasonal high-water table; and
  - b. The bottom elevation of the pool structure shall not be less than 2 ft. above the seasonal high groundwater elevation.
- In the within situation, the Applicants propose no physical separation between the pool and the seasonal high-water table. Likewise, in the within situation, the bottom elevation of the pool is less than 2 ft above the seasonal high ground water elevation. Not only is the bottom elevation not 2 ft. above the seasonal high groundwater elevation, but the same is 0.25 ft. into the seasonal high groundwater. Thus, Variance relief is required.
- Per the testimony and evidence presented, pool construction / installation into the actual groundwater elevation (in the within situation) is confirmed by the following elevations which were identified on a sub-surface investigation report submitted by the Applicants’ representatives (A-5). The relevant elevations in the said regard include the following:
  - Pool Coping.....Elevation 23.25 ft.
  - Pool Bottom.....Elevation 16.75 ft.
  - Seasonal High-Water Table....Elevation 17 ft.
  - Variance.....2.25 ft.
- During the Public Hearing process, the Board Engineer explained some of the potentially adverse impacts which could result if proper engineering methods (and compliance with the Ordinance) were not observed.
- Some of the potentially adverse impacts associated with improper pool installation (relative to the seasonal high groundwater elevation) include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - Potential pool construction / installation issues;
  - Potential damaging discharge of groundwater;
  - Potential “uplifting” of the pool in the winter months (if the pool is emptied of water);
  - Potential creation of additional surface run-off, which could potentially impact the subject property and / or surrounding properties;

- Potential flooding incidents;
  - Potential personal loss / inconvenience;
  - Potential financial costs; and
  - Other potentially adverse consequences.
- The Board Engineer further explained that the Borough's detailed Pool / Seasonal High Groundwater Elevation Ordinance is designed to address / prevent some of the potentially adverse consequences, as otherwise referenced above.
  - The Board Engineer further explained that, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, issues are more likely to occur during the pool installation process, as opposed to after the pool has been installed.
  - In the within situation, and in order to address some of the above- referenced concerns, the Applicants propose the installation of a dry well system along the side and rear property lines, so as to prevent run-off draining onto the adjoining properties. There is a concern that such an arrangement, unless supplemented / enhanced, would not be effective if there was some type of failure. As such, and so as to better address such a concern, the testimony / evidence presented indicated that the proposed dry well system will be connected to the street frontage and tied into the existing municipal drainage system. It is believed that such additional features / elements would augment the operational success of the proposed dry well system. An obligation to so augment the proposed dry well system was an expressed condition of the within Approval.
  - Additionally, the testimony / evidence indicated that there will also be some type of Board Engineer-approved de-watering process (during the construction process), which should further address / prevent any potential uplifting of the pool (during the winter months when the pool is devoid of water). The within Approval is expressly contingent upon such a Board Engineer-approved de-watering process being installed / utilized, and the Applicants agreed to such an expressed condition of the Approval.
  - In conjunction with the above, the Board notes that there will be a 56 ft. long x 18" deep x 12" wide french drain on the south side of the property.
  - Per the testimony and evidence presented, the aforesaid french drain system will minimize any potentially adverse impact otherwise associated with the proposal approved herein.
  - During the Public Hearing process, a question was, legitimately, raised, as to whether the Applicants could simply elevate the pool out of the seasonal high-water table elevation (so as to avoid any flooding concerns, etc.). Towards that end, the Board was advised that, per the testimony and evidence presented, in the within situation, somewhat seemingly counter-intuitively, raising the proposed pool out of the seasonal groundwater could potentially create additional surface

water run-off, thereby creating potential flooding concerns for the neighboring properties. As such, per the testimony and evidence presented, raising the pool out of the seasonal high groundwater elevation is not a viable option.

- Numerous neighboring property owners attended the Public Hearing so as to express questions / concerns / comments / objections regarding the proposal, and the potentially adverse grading / drainage / flooding issues associated therewith.
- The Board Members certainly understand, and appreciate, the aforesaid concerns of the neighbors.
- During the Public Hearing process, the testimony and evidence presented indicated that if the affirmative measures (addressed elsewhere herein) were effectuated, the risk of any adverse consequences (associated with Approval of the within Application) would be significantly minimized.
- Additionally, as further protection, and as a condition of the within Approval, the Board Engineer will further evaluate additional impacts after reviewing final plans / drawings (incorporating all of the conditions set forth herein, etc). Clearly, if such a technical review is not acceptable to the Board Engineer (and / or otherwise does not satisfy prevailing criteria) then, in that event, building / construction permits will not be issued.
- During the Public Hearing process, the Board was also advised that there are a great deal of other pools located within the Borough. The testimony also indicated that there are 5 pools within 200 ft. of the subject development site.
- The Applicants also advised that similar pool Variance relief was recently granted to a different homeowner. As such, the Applicant suggested that the within Application should be summarily approved.
- The Board notes that perhaps some of the other pool owners did not necessarily have to go through the extensive seasonal high groundwater elevation analysis as set forth herein, because the controlling Ordinance may not have been in effect when those other pools were created / installed.
- The Board notes, with appreciation, that the Borough Ordinance currently in effect (regarding the pool installation and the seasonal high groundwater elevation requirements) was specifically designed to address many of the grading / drainage / flooding issues the Borough has experienced over the last years /decades.
- As indicated, the Applicants indicated that because other pool Applications had been approved, the within Application should, essentially, be summarily approved.
- The Board notes that, from a legal standpoint, the fact that a prior pool Variance was granted is not, in and of itself, a basis as to why any other pool Variance Application would need to be approved.



- Likewise, if a prior pool Application were denied, the same is not a basis, in and of itself, as to why any other pool Variance Application should also be denied.
- Per New Jersey Municipal Land Use Law, each particular Zoning Variance Application rises or falls on its own merits. That is, there is no precedent associated with a previously adjudicated Application.
- As indicated, a Variance is granted, or denied, based up on the specific features of a particular property, and based upon other factors which include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:
  - a. The quality of the professional / lay testimony / evidence presented in connection with the Application;
  - b. The details of the particular proposal;
  - c. The technical details of the pool, including size, location, setback adherence, etc.;
  - d. The potential impact of water placement associated with the proposed pool;
  - e. The potential impact of the development on the subject property and / or adjacent properties; and
  - f. Compliance with, or satisfaction of, other prevailing legal standards.
- The Board Members engaged in a thorough on-the-record discussion regarding the potential merits and potential detriments associated with the subject proposal.
- After such a good faith debate and discussion, the Application was conditionally approved by a vote of 4 -3.
- The non-unanimous nature of the decision reflects the Board's good faith, critical, and in-depth analysis of the proposal.
- Based upon the very technical information presented and based up on the specific conditions as set forth in the Public Hearing process, and as otherwise identified herein, a majority of the Board has determined that the requested Variance relief can be granted without causing substantial detriment to the public good.
- Those Board Members who voted in favor of the Application essentially suggested that strict adherence to the conditions of the within approval constituted a significant basis for the approval.
- The conditions noted herein mitigate any adverse issues associated with the within approval.

- The Board notes that the subject Lot is an undersized Lot. Specifically, a minimum 15,000 SF Lot Size is required in the Zone – whereas the subject Lot only contains 12,497 SF. The Board notes that the said condition is an existing condition, which is not being exacerbated as a result of the within approval.
- Subject to the conditions contained herein, the improvements authorized herein will not overpower the site, the neighborhood, or the Borough as a whole.
- The Board notes that the existing / proposed significant amount of landscaping at the site helps mitigate any potentially adverse consequences otherwise associated with the Variance relief granted herein.
- In the within situation, the Applicant’s representatives have agreed to place trees / landscaping along the property line (where the pool will be located). The said landscaping / trees shall serve as a visual / noise / aesthetic buffer. Moreover, the said plantings will also minimize any adverse impact otherwise associated with the within approval.
- In conjunction with the above point, as a condition of the within approval, the said landscaping shall be perpetually maintained / replaced / re-planted, as necessary, so that the visual / noise / aesthetic buffer always exists.
- The proposed host locations for the pool / deck / grill are logical and functional.
- There are no known health and safety concerns associated with the Variance relief granted herein.
- The Applicant considered a number of potential host locations for the pool, deck, and grill, and the proposed locations are most appropriate / desirable for the subject site.
- The proposed host location of the pool / deck / grill is near the existing home and near the utility hook-ups, etc., further justifying the appropriateness of the proposed host location.
- The pool complies with the Prevailing Setback Requirements.
- The Applicant’s representatives also testified that, as a condition of the within approval, they would install various drains on the property so as to help accommodate stormwater runoff associated with the proposal.
- The Applicants provided extensive and compelling testimony in support of the Application, and the requested relief.
- Subject to the conditions set forth herein, the grading and drainage testimony / plans presented satisfied the technical concerns of the Board Engineer.

- Per the testimony and evidence presented and subject to the conditions contained herein, there will be no adverse drainage impacts associated with the within approval.
- Subject to the conditions contained herein, the Applicants' site can accommodate the subject proposal.
- Per the testimony and evidence presented, there are no adverse health / safety / construction issues associated with the proposal approved herein.
- Per the testimony and evidence presented, the installation of the pool approved herein will not compromise the health and safety of the occupants.
- There will be no adverse lighting or spill-over lighting associated with the placement of the pool / deck / grill in the designated / approved location.
- The pool will be shielded by shrubbery and/or fencing, etc. further ensuring that the proposed pool installation will not have an adverse impact on adjacent property owners.
- The pool will be appropriately shielded with landscaping / fencing.
- Subject to conditions contained herein, the location of the pool / deck / grill will minimize, to the greatest extent possible, any disturbance to the neighboring property owners.
- Additionally, notwithstanding the Variance relief, the Board notes that the location of the proposed pool / deck / grill is practical, appropriate, safe, functional, and aesthetically pleasing.
- The proposed pool / deck / fence will be attractive, aesthetically pleasing, and upscale, in accordance with Prevailing Community Standards.
- The Board notes that given the screening / buffering, the proposed pool / deck will not be readily seen from the public roads / Rights-of-Way.
- Approval of the within Application will enhance/improve the quality of life for the homeowners.
- The to-be installed pool is specifically designed for residential use.
- Approval of the within Application will not materially intensify the existing and to-be-continued single-family nature of the home/site.
- Sufficiently detailed Plans were submitted for the Application to be adjudicated.

- Subject to the conditions contained herein, the location of the pool / deck / grill will minimize, to the greatest extent possible, any disturbance to the neighboring properties.
- Subject to the conditions set forth herein, the benefits associated with approving the within Application outweigh any detriments associated therewith.
- Subject to the conditions contained herein, approval of the within Application will have no known detrimental impact on adjoining property owners and thus, the Application can be granted without causing substantial detriment to the public good.
- Approval of the within Application will promote various purposes of the Municipal Land Use Law; specifically, the same will provide a desirable visual environment through creative development techniques.
- The Application as presented satisfied the Statutory Requirements of N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70(C) (Bulk Variance).

Based upon the above, and subject to the conditions contained herein, a majority of the Board is of the belief that the requested relief can be granted without causing substantial detriment to the public good.

### **Conditions**

During the course of the Hearing, the Board has requested, and the Applicants have agreed, to comply with the following conditions:

- a. The Applicants shall comply with all promises, commitments, and representations made at or during the Public Hearing process.
- b. The Applicants shall comply with the terms and conditions of the Leon S. Avakian, Inc. Review Memorandum, dated April 27, 2023 (B-1).
- c. The Applicants shall cause the Plans to be revised so as to portray and confirm the following:
  - The installation of French drains, the details of which shall be reviewed and approved by the Board Engineer;
  - The inclusion of elevation data on the Plans;
  - The inclusion of a note confirming that the French drains shall be connected to the street or municipal drainage system (with the details of the same being reviewed and approved by the Board Engineer);

- The inclusion of landscaping details (to the satisfaction of the Board Engineer);
  - The inclusion of well-points on the Plans (the detailing of which shall be reviewed and approved by the Board Engineer);
  - Confirmation that the existing lights will be directed downwards, if necessary;
  - The inclusion of a note confirming that cartridge filters shall be utilized for the pool;
  - Confirmation that approximately 12 additional mature Skip Laurel trees shall be added to the subject property (between the Applicants' lot and adjacent lot 1.02). (It is anticipated that the said trees will grow to a height of approximately 25 ft.);
  - Confirmation that additional landscaping shall be planted along the southern property line (the details of which shall be reviewed and approved by the Board Engineer);
  - Confirmation that, unless otherwise waived by the Board Engineer, the water run-off at the site shall drain to Deal Lake;
  - Confirmation that the french drains shall be placed on the north side of the property and the south side of the property, and other potential locations as deemed necessary by the Board Engineer and / or otherwise identified during the Public Hearing process;
  - Confirmation that the groundwater shall be managed in the manner set forth in the Applicants' testimony, and as otherwise set forth in the manner submitted by the Applicants' professionals (the details for which shall be further reviewed, approved, and verified by the Board Engineer);
  - Confirmation that if required by the Board Engineer, the Applicants shall submit a professionally prepared hydraulic test so as to confirm that the proposed hydrostatic valve shall provide sufficient / satisfactory / reliable relief;
  - The inclusion of well points on the Plans.
  - The inclusion of a Code-compliant pool fence.
- d. The final plans / drawings (incorporating the condition set forth herein and incorporating other testimony presented during the Public Hearing process) shall be specifically reviewed / approved by the Board Engineer, prior to the issuance of any permits;
- e. There shall be no adverse light spillover associated with the within proposal.

- f. The french drains, as referenced above, shall be installed and maintained in accordance with manufacturing standards, and other best practices.
- g. The Applicants shall obtain any necessary NJDEP permits.
- h. The Applicants shall submit de-watering process details to the Board Engineer, for review and approval.
- i. Grading / drainage details shall be reviewed and approved by the Board Engineer.
- j. Unless otherwise waived by the Board Engineer, the subject lots shall be consolidated (and the new lot designation shall be approved by the Tax Assessor).
- k. The Applicants shall perpetually maintain / replace / re-replant landscaping at the site, as necessary.
- l. Before any permits are issued, the final construction details shall be reviewed and approved by the Board Engineer.
- m. If requested by the Board Engineer, the Applicants shall install dry-well / dry-wells at the site (the details of which shall be reviewed and approved by the Board Engineer). (Additionally, any such dry-well / dry-wells shall be installed / maintained in accordance with manufacturer guidelines, and other best practices.)
- n. The Applicants shall obtain any applicable permits/approvals as may be required by the Borough of Interlaken - including, but not limited to, the following:
  - Building Permit
  - Plumbing Permit
  - Electric Permit
  - Fire Permit
- o. If applicable, the proposed structure / renovation shall comply with applicable provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- p. Unless otherwise waived by the Zoning Officer or Board Engineer, Grading Plans shall be submitted to the Borough Engineer so as to confirm that any drainage / run-off does not go onto adjoining properties.
- q. The installation shall be strictly limited to the Plans which are referenced herein, and which are incorporated herein at length. Additionally, the construction shall comply with Prevailing Provisions of the Uniform Construction Code.
- r. The Applicants shall comply with all terms and conditions of the Review Memoranda, if any, issued by the Board Engineer, Borough Engineer, Construction Office, the Municipal Project Assistant, the Department of Public Works, the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Investigation, and / or other Agents of the Borough.

- s. The Applicants shall obtain any and all approvals (or Letters of No Interest) from applicable outside agencies - including, but not limited to, the Department of Environmental Protection, the Monmouth County Planning Board, and the Freehold Soil Conservation District. (To the extent the Application materially changes as a result of any such outside approvals, then, in that event, the Applicants shall be required to return to the Planning Board for further / amended relief.)
- t. The Applicants shall, in conjunction with appropriate Borough Ordinances, pay all appropriate / required fees and taxes.
- u. If required by the Board Engineer and the NJMLUL, the Applicants shall submit appropriate performance guarantees in favor of the Borough of Interlaken.
- v. Unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Board, the within approval shall be deemed abandoned, unless, within 12-months from adoption of the within Resolution, the Applicants obtain a Building Permit for the construction / development / installation approved herein.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all representations made under oath by the Applicants and / or their agents shall be deemed conditions of the approval granted herein, and any mis-representations or actions by the Applicants contrary to the representations made before the Board shall be deemed a violation of the within approval.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Application is granted only in conjunction with the conditions noted above - and but for the existence of the same, the within Application would not be approved.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the granting of the within Application is expressly made subject to and dependent upon the Applicants' compliance with all other appropriate Rules, Regulations, and / or Ordinances of the Borough of Interlaken, County of Monmouth, and State of New Jersey.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the action of the Board in approving the within Application shall not relieve the Applicants of responsibility for any damage caused by the subject project, nor does the Planning Board of the Borough of Interlaken, the Borough of Interlaken, or their agents / representatives accept any responsibility for the structural design of the proposed improvements or for any damage which may be caused by the development / construction.

FOR THE APPLICATION: Councilman Blasucci, Ms. Dalton, Mr. Wasilishen, and Mr. Weaver

AGAINST THE APPLICATION: Vice Chairwoman Umfrid, Ms. Kane, and Ms. Heinz

ABSTENTIONS: Mr. Tilton and Ms. Kapp

Board Attorney Kennedy read the conditions of approval.

The foregoing Resolution was offered by Mr. Weaver and Seconded by Mr. Wasilishen and adopted by Roll Call Vote:

IN FAVOR: Ms. Dalton, Mr. Wasilishen, Mr. Weaver

OPPOSED: None

ABSTAINED: None

INELIGIBLE: Vice Chairwoman Umfrid, Mr. Tilton, Ms. Kapp and Ms. Heinz

ABSENT: Chairman Papp and Councilman Blasucci

Board Secretary Kneser stated that the next meeting date is July 17, 2023.

UPON MOTION of Mr. Weaver, seconded by Mr. Wasilishen, carried, the Board adjourned the meeting.

ROLL CALL:



In Favor: Vice Chairwoman Umfrid, Ms. Dalton, Mr. Tilton, Mr. Wasilishen, Mr. Weaver, Ms. Kapp, Ms. Kane, and Ms. Heinz

Opposed: None

Ineligible: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Chairman Papp and Councilman Blasucci

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Vice Chairwoman, Kathy Umfrid

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Kneser, Secretary